Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,801	NICHANI, SANJAY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN B. STREGE	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>JOHN B. STREGE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>BRIAN MICHAELIS 34,221</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 101 and 102		
Claims discussed: 2, and 12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner explained first that the restriction requirement was improper and therefore all of the claims were being examined. The Examiner further suggested amending claim 2 to incorporate claim 4 in order to overcome a rejection over Dhond et al. The Applicant agreed. The Examiner also suggested amending claims 2 and 12 to insert using a vision system to carry out the steps in order to overcome a 101 rejection. The Applicant agreed and an Examiner's amendment was prepared.